



**CITY OF WALLED LAKE  
REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING  
TUESDAY, DECEMBER 2, 2025  
7:30 P.M.**

City Clerk Stuart welcomed everyone to the regularly scheduled City Council meeting of December 2, 2025 and introduced Council Member James Arnold, Council Member Ryan Woods, Council Member Casey R. Ambrose, Council Member Tamra Loch, Council Member Russ Schinzing, Mayor Pro Tem Dennis O'Rourke, Mayor Richard Gunther.

The meeting was called to order at 7:30 p.m. by Mayor Gunther.

**PLEDGE TO FLAG & INVOCATION**

Invocation by Mayor Pro Tem O'Rourke.

**ROLL CALL** Mayor Gunther, Mayor Pro Tem O'Rourke, Council Member Ambrose, Council Member Arnold, Council Member Loch, Council Member Schinzing, and Council Member Woods

**OTHERS PRESENT** City Manager Whitt, Finance Director Pesta, Public Safety Deputy Director Shakinas, Deputy Police Chief Kolke, Fire Chief Gonzalez, DPW Superintendent Ladd, HR Director Sears, City Attorney Vanerian, Library Director Ralston, and City Clerk Stuart

**PRESENTATION**

**1. Mary Koeger, Oakland County Water Resources – Chief Engineer Waste Water Treatment Plant**

Ms. Koeger and Mr. Gary Nigro provided a presentation on the Walled Lake Arm and the Walled Lake/Novi Wastewater Treatment Plant. They explained that although several additions and upgrades have been completed over the years, none of them have addressed the core facility needs or longstanding operational concerns. The communities share responsibility for the facility, with Walled Lake contributing one-third and Novi two-thirds of the system.

Major capital projects completed to date include:

- 1966 – Construction of the Walled Lake Arm
- 1970 – Installation of the raw sewage pump station
- 1980 – Addition of the filtration system
- 1990 – Construction of the administration building and installation of the fourth clarifier
- 2003 – Installation of a new UV disinfection system
- 2014 – Construction of the retention basin for extreme weather events

These projects were completed independently and did not address previous infrastructure issues. Following a recent site walk, several emerging sustainability concerns were identified, raising

questions about what is required for the long-term viability of the facility. Ms. Koeger explained that the asset holders are now approaching the communities to form a Chapter 20 Drain District, which would shift entire ownership from Oakland County to a shared district structure. Ms. Koeger said this would give Walled Lake and Novi a direct voice in decisions, rather than relying exclusively on Oakland County to determine future actions. Ms. Koeger identified and explained the capital needs to include:

- Repairs of the biosolid storage tanks
- Biosolid dewatering equipment
- Redundancy improvements to prevent loss of critical functions
- Replacement of process blowers that are reaching the end of their 35-year service life
- Improvements to the Walled Lake Arm collection system, including 70 manholes and 17,000 linear feet of pipe installed in 1966
- Effluent structure upgrades necessary to meet permit requirements and ensure discharged water remains safe for swimming and fishing
- Administration building updates, including laboratory HVAC improvements
- Replacement or upgrades of electrical panels and the pump station elevator

Ms. Koeger said that while these improvements may sound extensive, they are essential, not innovative, they are required for the continued safe and sustainable operation of the plant. Ms. Koeger said the estimated total cost for the necessary work is \$6 million, with Walled Lake responsible for roughly one-third, or approximately \$400,000 annually. Ms. Koeger provided photos of the facility to illustrate areas in significant need of repair. Ms. Koeger also explained ongoing concerns including the risk of overflow events, the evaluation of alternative “cake” (solid) storage options versus liquid storage, and the unreliability of the existing man lift, which frequently requires repairs beyond what can reasonably be maintained. Additionally, any upgrades will require the motor control center to be brought up to current building code standards. Ms. Koeger concluded by stating that these projects are critical for the plant’s future viability and long-term operational stability.

Mayor Pro Tem O’Rourke asked if the City of Novi had a separate treatment plant, the split seems that it would be more like 70/30 or 80/20 not 1/3 and 2/3.

Ms. Koeger explained the Walled Lake / Novi wastewater treatment plant reporting provides an accumulative, the average percentage of influent flow coming into the plants.

Mayor Pro Tem O’Rourke asked if this plant covers the City of Novi entirely.

Mayor Gunther said if you review the numbers, is there something unique to the City of Walled Lake, maybe we are outing too much water in the system for the number of citizens and commercial buildings. Is there something unique to the City of Walled Lake compared to the City of Novi. He said if there were two other treatment facilities that address the City of Novi, why is Walled Lake so high in numbers. Mayor Gunther said if this was on a percentage basis, per citizen and per business, you would expect to see an “x” number of gallons. He asked if Walled Lake was in line or if there was something else going on.

Mr. Gary Nigro said no he did not have the exact percentage of how much Novi is served by the Great Lakes Water Authority system. The Huron Rouge sanitary drain serves them as well in the south and east portions of the city. He explained Novi also has a linear storage tank for a sanitary system along Nine Mile Road that the city paid 100% of its cost.

Council Member Woods said metering information was shared, there are meters on the Walled Lake side and the Novi side. Council Member Woods said there was a study done a few years back, to understand what the data is and define the problems.

Mayor Pro Tem O'Rourke asked about the Chapter 20 Drainage District who the members are and are they appointed, elected, or nominated to the board.

Mr. Gary Nigro explained the board consists of Oakland County Water Resources Commissioner Mr. Jim Nash, the Board of Commissioners Chairman Mr. Dave Woodward, and Mr. Gwen Markham, Chairman of the Finance Committee.

Mayor Gunther clarified that under Chapter 20, then no one from the Walled Lake City Council is on board, just those three from the county.

Council Member Ambrose said yes correct and it pulls any decisions out of the city councils' hands, all falling under the county.

Ms. Koeger said if a drainage district, particularly Chapter 20, were added, the city would have more involvement and transparency than they do now. Ms. Koeger explained that under the current structure, Oakland County owns the asset and provides wastewater treatment services directly to the communities. The County presents service rates and identifies the projects it plans to complete, and that is generally the extent of local involvement. Ms. Koeger said that a Chapter 20 Drainage District would fundamentally change that process. When the communities sign the petition to establish the district, they obtain a formal role in approving major capital improvements. If a new project is proposed in the future, such as transitioning from Class B to Class A biosolids, which is currently a significant topic statewide, the County cannot pursue that project on its own. Ms. Koeger said if a different engineer later proposes a large or innovative upgrade, the project must be brought forward as a petitionable major capital improvement. Ms. Koeger said no such project can proceed without approval from the communities that make up the Drainage District. Ms. Koeger said once the Drain Board is created, the participating communities gain the authority to approve or deny future projects, ensuring that decisions are no longer made solely by Oakland County.

Mr. Gary Nigro explained Water Resources Commissioner Nash can make decisions without going to the board but if Chapter 20 is established and the initial investment is made by the City of Walled Lake and the City of Novi, the drain office is only allowed to maintain the assets by assigning rates and such but if there is a large capital improvement of some sort, the county would have to ask the communities via a petition for the capital improvement.

Council Member Woods asked, historically what has WRC done for changes or capital projects.

Council Member Schinzing asked if Novi is waiting for Walled Lake's participation in the Chapter 20.

Ms. Koeger explained Chapter 20 cannot commence, cannot start its process until both parties sign their petitions. She said the City of Novi has already signed their petition. She said Chapter 20 cannot exist with just one, both parties must sign.

Mayor Gunther asked how many communities like Walled Lake are still not a part of Chapter 20. Mayor Gunther said he is scheduled to visit the site on the 10<sup>th</sup>. Mayor Gunther asked if the assessments were all done in house or was there a third-party assessment involved.

Ms. Koeger explained that Oakland County owns only two wastewater treatment facilities: the Walled Lake / Novi Wastewater Treatment Plant and the former Pontiac Wastewater Treatment Plant. Approximately eight years ago, after the County assumed operation of the Pontiac facility, the surrounding communities petitioned the County to convert that facility into a Chapter 20 Drainage District. As a result, it is now known as the Clinton River Water Resource Recovery Facility Drainage District, operating fully under Chapter 20 governance. The Walled Lake / Novi system is the remaining County-owned facility that has not yet transitioned to a Chapter 20 structure.

Council Member Woods said he did a site visit as well as Council Member Ambrose, it is good to go see, he is worried about the cost, needs are there yes, but he has questions and concerns of ownership. Council Member Woods explained that staffs input is important, what is the preference, legal standpoint, structural and engineering, a collective set of information is required before making decisions on this.

Mayor Gunther asked if DTE was sequestered to perform an energy assessment. Mayor Gunther explained if upgrades are made for energy efficiency, rebates become an option, a cost savings.

Ms. Gary Nigro explained that WRC is aggressive with grant exploration, they have searched for an alternative energy source and enter in contracts wherever they can for cost savings. He said they want to provide a reliable service to all the communities they service. He said sometimes keeping it as simple as possible is the most efficient way.

#### **REQUESTS FOR AGENDA CHANGES *None***

#### **APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

##### **1. Regular Council and Organizational Meeting Minutes of November 18, 2025**

**CM 12-01-25 MOTION TO APPROVE REGULAR COUNCIL AND  
ORGANIZATIONAL MEETING MINUTES OF NOVEMBER 18,  
2025**

Motion by O'Rourke, seconded by Loch, CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY: To approve regular council and organizational meeting minutes of November 18, 2025.

**Roll Call Vote**

Ayes (7)      Ambrose, Arnold, Loch, O'Rourke, Schinzing, Woods, Gunther  
Nayes (0)  
Absent (0)  
Abstain (0)

**AUDIENCE PARTICIPATION**    *None*

**MAYOR'S REPORT**

**1. Mayor's Nomination to Boards and Commissions**

Mayor Gunther said there are several board and commission seats available. He encouraged council to reach out to solicit interested people and have them apply.

City Manager Whitt said Mayor Pro Tem O'Rourke did a great job with the tree lighting/Santa event.

Mayor Pro Tem O'Rourke asked for an explanation on the process for appointments to boards and commissions.

City Manager Whitt said the city advertises and the mayor as matter of Charter, makes the nomination and presents to council, then majority of council approves the mayor's nomination, and finally the council makes the appointment. City Manager Whitt said the mayor has discretion when interviewing candidates.

Mayor Pro Tem O'Rourke asked if a sitting council member is required to be on each board or commission.

City Manager Whitt explained that a council member may sit on a board or commission as a voting member or as a liaison, not both.

City Attorney Vanerian explained one elected city official may be appointed to a board or commission as a full voting member. Alternatively, an elected official may be appointed to serve as a liaison. Liaisons may participate in discussions and provide input but do not have voting authority. He said there are limitations as elected officials cannot serve as chairman.

Mayor Pro Tem O'Rourke explained when speaking with the residents, the lack of a Traffic Safety Board was a hot topic in the community.

City Manager Whitt said in his experience with the city, there was a lack of interest in becoming a member of the Traffic Safety Board.

Mayor Gunther said bus stops were a big concern when talking with the residents, along Pontiac Trail, the bus stops along Pontiac Trail for pick up and drop off.

Mayor Pro Tem O'Rourke suggested having a member of the Traffic Safety Board on the DDA Board.

Mayor Gunther explained at the last meeting, there was heated conversation from a member of the audience Tracey Millman, in her mind she has genuine concerns of lack of transparency. Mayor Gunther said having discussions with her, we need to make sure she feels better. Mayor Gunther said harness her energy and put it to something constructive. Mayor Gunther said we will have further discussions with Ms. Tracey Millman, and he would like to provide something for Ms. Tracey Millman. Mayor Gunther said a lot of what he heard from the residents is lack of transparency and he agrees. Mayor Gunther said there is no reason to file a FOIA for what they are supposed to be able to see anyway. Mayor Gunther said if we provide Ms. Tracey Millman with a meeting with the auditor and eliminate the concerns, address the question of the number of credit cards, how many are out there. He said there are questions about a WEX fleet card and a Walled Lake Schools Federal Credit Union card. Mayor Gunther said we need to provide a copy of everything to the council, we will all go through it, and we will explain the alleged transparencies. Mayor Gunther said to harness their energy and put it to something constructive. Mayor Gunther said he has done this for a number of years in the auto industry with irrational or emotionally concerned people. He said in their minds it is real. Mayor Gunther said we are going to go through each item and spend time with Ms. Tracey Millman. Mayor Gunther said Police Chief Shakinas tried to meet with Ms. Millman and she did not show. Mayor Gunther said we need to clarify and educate her a little bit and harness her energy.

Council Member Loch said this is just one citizen and to do this for one person is costly. Council Member Loch asked Mayor Gunther if he intends to do this with all single citizen's questions or concerns and explained this is going to be extremely costly for the taxpayer.

Mayor Gunther said this is about a transparency problem, put all of it out there that they want to see and then you will not have a problem anymore.

Council Member Loch said be sure to be transparent about the cost to the taxpayer as well.

Council Member Ambrose said this is the same individual who has a Facebook page, called the Walled Lake corruption page.

City Manager Whitt said he is inclined to agree with Mayor Gunther, do it once or twice, he has no objections. City Manager Whitt explained that the city does have credit cards, one through the Walled Lake Schools Credit Unions, you can come look at every book, FOIA is one option, transparency is coming to city hall and reviewing items. He said information is available and people turn it around to something that it is not. City Manager Whitt said my team is honest, they

work hard. City Manager Whitt said council has witnessed this in the couple of weeks they have been in office. City Manager Whitt said the city auditor is independent and he works for the council, not him and as explained by Mr. Pfeffer at the last meeting the city is in better shape than it has been in the last 15 years. City Manager Whitt said he feels this is more about angry people. City Manager Whitt said he is going to agree with Mayor Gunther and support him, there is no reason to push back but we cannot do this with everybody.

Council Member Schinzing said Ms. Tracy Millman's concerns are broad, but if we demonstrate good-faith efforts to address them or at least to evaluate each one he thinks it will go a long way. Mr. Schinzing explained outside of what Ms. Tracey Millman is raising, there really are not many other issues. Council Member Schinzing said he sees this as an opportunity to show we're trying, sincerely and constructively.

City Manager Whitt said staff has instruction to assist, however, at some point council will need to identify if worthy of the time.

Council Member Arnold explained he had missed some of the dialogue from the last meeting, so he is still trying to fully understand the core issues. Council Member Arnold asked if there was a systemic way for us to reinforce transparency without feeling obligated to respond to every individual point. Council Member Arnold explained if we can make an iterative, meaningful step forward even if we don't know exactly what that looks like, yet it would help. He said similar to what we did with the Traffic Commission, transparency keeps coming up, whether the perception is real or not. He said if we simply show up with more information, it can't hurt, and it reinforces that we're committed to being open and accountable.

City Manager Whitt explained at some point council will need to decide if spending this energy and all your efforts as a council person, for this is worth it. City Manager Whitt said sometimes it is just about being angry and some cannot be satisfied.

Mayor Gunther explained we cannot do this with everybody but let's try with her, she seems to have the most issues and the biggest issues.

## **CITY MANAGER'S REPORT**

- 1. Consent Agenda of Written Departmental / Divisional Statistical Reports**
  - a. Police**
  - b. Fire**
  - c. Finance**  
-Warrant
  - d. Code Enforcement**

**CM 12-02-25 APPROVAL OF CITY MANAGER'S CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS  
TO RECEIVE AND FILE THE DEPARTMENTAL AND  
DIVISIONAL STATISTICAL REPORTS**

Motion by Woods, seconded by Ambrose, CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY: To approve City Manager's Consent Agenda items to receive and file the departmental and divisional statistical reports.

#### Discussion

Mayor Gunther asked if the report could have more detail.

Council Member Schinzing asked for clarification of the time frame this report covers.

Finance Director Pesta explained this a carry of reporting from the last meeting it is for October.

City Manager Whitt explained this report is a carryover from the last meeting as it was not discussed prior to the call for adjournment.

#### Roll Call Vote

Ayes (7)	Arnold, Loch, O'Rourke, Schinzing, Woods, Ambrose, Gunther
Nays (0)	
Absent (0)	
Abstain (0)	

## 2. Report on Downtown Improvement Phase I

City Manager Whitt said with the new majority of council, we will have another presentation from the City Engineer, so everyone is brought up to speed. City Manager Whitt explained the DDA purchased the vacant parcels downtown, the property across from the beach. City Manager Whitt explained while they are a component unit, any major actions will ultimately need to come before the Council for discussion. City Manager Whitt said there is a vacancy on the DDA board, which will factor in our downtown plans before deciding on any development or improvements. City Manager Whitt explained the first-phase survey work has been completed, but with a new majority of council in place, some may want to revisit it. This wouldn't mean starting over on engineering, but engineering can update reports and address any questions or feedback. City Manager Whitt said it is important to note that planners and engineers often have different priorities planners focus on aesthetics, while engineers focus on functionality. Both perspectives are valuable, but opinions on the plan may differ depending on what members prioritize.

City Manager Whitt said regarding the wastewater treatment plant, he has been dealing with this for several years. We are responsible for funding it. The current proposal is to make some changes in how it's managed. While this may not be a bad idea, he wants to be clear about the transparency issue: currently, you have elected officials involved, but under the new structure, it would be two county representatives and one elected, Mr. Jim Nash the Drain Commissioner, three individuals who may or may not follow our guidance. He said up to now, whenever adjustments were needed, we've participated to help smooth out costs so that rates aren't raised on everyone unnecessarily. The new approach they're considering, under the Drain Act, would

allow them to bypass some of the old bidding requirements from the 1939 act, which is likely to slow projects down and may increase costs because of the competitive bidding process. They want to streamline that process and have the county manage it. While this might be efficient, our priority is to maintain leverage. As the mayor has noted, we don't want to give up veto power or control over key decisions. He said what was explained at prior meetings, it seems that legal mechanisms like a petition could be used to stop actions we don't agree with.

City Attorney Vanerian explained the proposed petition language is extremely broad essentially giving the drain authority free rein to carry out almost any action they choose. There may be limited exceptions, like an entirely new Class A capital improvements that the plant currently doesn't perform, but otherwise the scope is very wide. This isn't limited to the current project; once the Chapter 20 Drain Commission or Drain Authority is established, they have final say over which projects are undertaken, how much is spent, and the city is obligated to fund it. He said in theory, we could attend their meetings and voice concerns, but in practice, it's unlikely they would significantly adjust their decisions. This essentially creates a new level of government with considerable autonomy. City Attorney Vanerian explained he is not saying everything about a Chapter 20 drainage district is negative. There are some benefits and positive aspects it's really a matter of weighing the pros and cons to determine what's best for the City of Walled Lake. What works for a larger city like Novi may not be suitable for Walled Lake. Novi has significant growth potential and tends to support large investments in the plant to accommodate expansion. Walled Lake, by contrast, is mostly built out, so the scale and cost of improvements must be considered carefully in light of our own community's needs and priorities.

## **CORRESPONDENCE**

City Clerk Stuart said a resignation letter from DDA Board Member Millen was received.

**CM 12-03-25 RECEIVE AND FILE RESIGNATION LETTER FROM DDA BOARD MEMBER MILLEN**

Motion by Schinzing, seconded by Arnold, CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY: To receive and file resignation letter from DDA Board Member Millen.

Roll Call Vote

Ayes (7)	Loch, O'Rourke, Schinzing, Woods, Ambrose, Arnold, Gunther
Nays (0)	
Absent (0)	
Abstain (0)	

## **ATTORNEY'S REPORT**

City Attorney Vanerian said he provided council with a written overview of the Open Meetings Act (OMA), which he typically shares with new council members. He suggested keeping this as

a reference, because questions on this topic arise from time to time. Attorney Vanerian highlighted audience participation to include; the council is required to allow public participation, but you have broad authority to establish rules for it; council can set how long each person may speak and require them to identify themselves (name and address); current council rules allow each member of the audience three minutes for public comment; anyone may attend and observe a meeting without identifying themselves, if they wish to make a public comment at the podium, they must follow the rules, including stating their name and address; and the mayor or meeting chair ultimately decides when it's time to move on to the next speaker.

City Attorney Vanerian further explained the open meetings act including: the OMA primarily applies when a quorum of the public body is present. For a seven-member council, a quorum is four members; discussions among two or three members outside of a meeting (e.g., lunch or coffee) generally do not fall under the OMA; once a quorum is present, you must ensure compliance with OMA requirements.

City Attorney Vanerian explained closed session requirements to include exceptions in which the council may enter a closed session; entering a closed session requires a two-thirds roll call vote; during closed session, deliberations can occur, but any final decisions must be made in an open meeting. Typically, the council returns to open sessions to take any formal action resulting from closed session discussions.

Council Member Schinzing asked how many closed sessions there have been in the last several years and can council obtain copies of the closed session minutes.

City Manager Whitt explained most closed sessions were for staff performance evaluations and labor negotiations. City Manager Whitt said a closed session request is on the agenda and all the agendas are posted online. City Manager Whitt said any action of council is only taken after exiting from closed session and council reconvenes during open session.

City Attorney Vanerian said what is discussed in closed sessions is to be kept private, copies of minutes can be made available to council.

#### **UNFINISHED BUSINESS** *None*

#### **NEW BUSINESS**

##### **1. Proposed Resolution 2025-36 Schedule of Regular Meetings for 2026**

Mayor Gunther explained most communities have two meetings a month and he would like to do the same. Mayor Gunther proposed a revised 2026 calendar with two meeting dates, the first and third Tuesday.

City Manager Whitt said when he arrived here in 2010, they held two meetings a month. City Manager Whitt said the mayor at that time called three meetings in one night and he received \$50 for each meeting that evening. City Manager Whitt said when saw this occurring, he

explained to council those meetings could be combined into one meeting to save money. City Manager Whitt said we try to save money wherever possible, and that is how the meeting schedule ended up being cut back. He said if council decides to go back to two meetings a month, he feels council would quickly find they do not actually need that many. City Manager Whitt explained that everyone's schedule is different, but we will be here when the decision is made.

Mayor Gunther said it will be up to the vote of council. Mayor Gunther said in his opinion they have these meetings once a month, and maybe a business meeting another time. Mayor Gunther said what he had heard during campaigning; that earlier meetings were short, there was no dialogue, and stuff is being done outside the Open Meetings Act. Mayor Gunther said the people who have emotional scars with the city, in their minds there is something missing. Mayor Gunther explained if we come into council meetings with no quorum, we cannot vote but we can still have dialogue.

City Manager Whitt explained a city manager does not bring something to the board if there is known disagreement with something that could turn into a battle or embarrassment. City Manager Whitt said if people want to see the fight, it becomes a show not a meeting. City Manager Whitt said if everyone votes the same, that does not mean there were secret meetings, it is simply a good idea, and the vote is positive.

Mayor Gunther said it is good for people to see the dialogue; it is nice for people to see that discussion.

Council Member Woods explained that council already has flexibility at both the front and back end of its process as two members can request a special meeting, and any member can make a motion to table an item for further discussion later. He cautions against adding more meetings without considering budget impacts and staffing demands. He said key staff, like the police chief, fire chief, and DPW, all perform frontline duties that contribute directly to public safety and maintain benefits such as the city's strong ISO rating, which helps keep residents' insurance costs down. Council Member Woods said additional meetings could pull them away from essential work. He said that any discussion about adding meetings should be revisited during budget planning to fully understand the operational impact, noting that the existing procedures already allow council to extend or revisit discussions when needed.

Mayor Gunther said you do not have to have everybody at each meeting. Mayor Gunther explained if staff are not providing a report, then they do not need to be at both meetings. Mayor Gunther said the second meeting could be a business meeting, still taking minutes.

Mayor Pro Tem O'Rourke suggested tabling the two meetings a month so folks can review their schedules.

**CM 12-04-25 MOTION TO TABLE THE APPROVAL OF RESOLUTION 2025-26  
A RESOLUTION ESTABLISHING THE TIME, PLACE, AND THE  
2026 SCHEDULE OF REGULAR MEETINGS FOR THE CITY OF**

## **WALLED LAKE PURSUANT TO THE CITY CHARTER; PROVIDING FOR PUBLICATION**

### **Discussion**

Mayor Gunther said he did not know what the meeting attendance would look like for two meetings a month and asked City Attorney Vanerian if there is a requirement. Mayor Gunther asked if those who attended did not propose a resolution or vote on anything, if it is ok to meet.

City Attorney Vanerian explained if council met with less than a quorum and the meeting is open to the public there is nothing that says that cannot happen.

City Manager Whitt explained the same problem we are accused of, would surface again; council is having meetings without a quorum.

Mayor Gunther said to publish the schedule of meetings and if only three are here to dialogue, then it's only three, and those in audience can see the interaction.

Council Member Loch explained this evening there is no audience, this meeting has been published and there is no audience. Council Member Loch explained there are minutes, video operations, staffing of meetings, etc. to consider, she disagrees with two meetings a month.

Council Member Woods modified the motion to table the 2026 City Council meeting schedule less the regularly January 20, 2026 meeting.

**CM 12-05-25 MOTION TO TABLE THE APPROVAL OF THE 2026 MEETING SCHEDULE FOR CITY COUNCIL MEETINGS LESS THE PROPOSED REGULARLY SCHEDULED CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 20, 2026**

Motion by Woods, seconded by Ambrose, CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY: To table the approval of the 2026 meeting schedule for City Council meetings less the proposed regularly scheduled City Council meeting of January 20, 2026.

### **Roll Call Vote**

Ayes (7)	O'Rourke, Schinzing, Woods, Ambrose, Arnold, Loch, Gunther
Nays (0)	
Absent (0)	
Abstain (0)	

**Main Motion 12-06-25**

Motion by O'Rourke, seconded by Loch, CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY: To table resolution 2025-26 a resolution establishing the time, place, and the 2026 schedule of regular meetings for the City of Walled Lake pursuant to the City Charter; providing for publication.

Roll Call Vote

Ayes (7) Schinzing, Woods, Ambrose, Arnold, Loch, O'Rourke, Gunther  
Nays (0)  
Absent (0)  
Abstain (0)

**COUNCIL COMMENTS** *None*

**MAYOR'S COMMENTS** *None*

**ADJOURNMENT**

**CM 12-07-25 ADJOURNMENT**

Motion by Ambrose, seconded by O'Rourke: CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY: To adjourn the meeting at 9:15 P.M.

Jennifer A. Stuart  
Jennifer A. Stuart, City Clerk

Richard Gunther, Mayor

*Approved 1/20/24*